WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		V.		
	Jesus	Rogelio Lopez-Lopez	Case Number:	15-9121MJ
was prese	ent and	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 If represented by counsel. I conclude by the detention of the defendant pending tr	a preponderance of the evidence	
I find by a	ı prepo	Funderance of the evidence that:	INDINGS OF FACT	
	₹ .	The defendant is not a citizen of the Ur	nited States or lawfully admitted for	permanent residence.
	☒	The defendant, at the time of the charg	ed offense, was in the United State	es illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
		The defendant has no significant conta	cts in the United States or in the Di	istrict of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	☒	The defendant has a prior criminal histo	ory.	
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
		The defendant is an amnesty applicant substantial family ties to Mexico.	but has no substantial ties in Arizo	ona or in the United States and has
		There is a record of prior failure to appe	ear in court as ordered.	
		The defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by fleeing fro	m law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imp	risonment.
T Court at t	he Co	urt incorporates by reference the materi e of the hearing in this matter, except as	al findings of the Pretrial Services as noted in the record.	Agency which were reviewed by the
		COI	NCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1 2		There is a serious risk that the defenda No condition or combination of conditio		earance of the defendant as required.
		DIRECTION	IS REGARDING DETENTION	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.				
•	•		AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	triat Count it is sourcelle reconstrainity.
to deliver District Co from the o	a copy ourt. F date of s with t	RDERED that should an appeal of this or of the motion for review/reconsideration of the motion for review/reconsideration of the motion for review/reconsideration of the service of a copy of this order or after the district court. Failure to timely file of RIM.P.	n to Pretrial Services at least one of effective December 1, 2009, Defe the oral order is stated on the recor	day prior to the hearing set before the ndant shall have fourteen (14) days d within which to file specific written
Pretrial S	ervices	JRTHER ORDERED that if a release to sufficiently in advance of the hearing by vestigate the potential third party custod	efore the District Court to allow Pre	
DATE: _	Maı	rch 30, 2015		Swilled
			United	Eileen S. Willett d States Magistrate Judge